Page: 6 of 7

Appl. No. 10/828,866 Amdt. dated September 14, 2005 Reply to Office action of June 24, 2005

To: Examiner David M. Purol.

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-5 and 7-14 remain in this application. Claims 6 and 15-19 have been canceled.

The examiner has acknowledged that claims 1-5 and 7-12 are directed to allowable subject matter.

Claim 1, as amended, overcomes the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection because it has been amended to include the invention having a screen having a width and a height, two stiles extending along the height of the screen, and two rails extending along the width of the screen. Support for the amendment to specify that the two stiles extend along the height of the screen is found in the Specification at p. 9, lines 4-5, and in Figs. 1-3. Support for the amendment to specify that the two rails extend along the width of the screen is found in the Specification at p. 8, line 28, and in Figs. 1-3. The dependent claims 2-5 and 7-9 add additional novel features to the independent claims recited above and thus are submitted to be a-fortiori, patentable.

Claim 10, as amended, overcomes the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection because it has been amended to include the invention having a screen having a width and a height, two stiles extending along the height of the screen, and two rails extending along the width of the screen. Support for the amendment to specify that the two stiles extend along the height of the screen is found in the Specification at p. 9, lines 4-5, and in Figs. 1-3. Support for the amendment to specify that the two rails extend along the width of the screen is found in the Specification at p. 8, line 28, and in Figs. 1-3. The dependent claims 11-14 add additional novel features to the independent claims recited above and thus are submitted to be a-fortiori, patentable.

Appl. No. 10/828,866 Amdt. dated September 14, 2005 Reply to Office action of June 24, 2005

The dependent claims 2-5, 7-9, and 11-13 add additional novel features to the independent claims recited above and thus are submitted to be a-fortiori, patentable.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that:

Claims 1-5 and 7-14, as amended, recite distinctions that are of patentable merit under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for the independent claims and thus for each dependent claim as well.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony Edw. J Campbell Reg. No. 39,619

Customer No. 30,245 Phone 512/306-0321 Attorney for Applicant

Date: September 14, 2005

## **Certificate of Facsimile**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by fax to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Anthony Edw. J Campbell

Wednesday, September 14, 2005